arXiv:1611.00550v1 [math.SP] 2 Nov 2016

On accelerants and their analogs, and on the characterization of the rectangular Weyl functions for Dirac systems with locally square-integrable potentials on a semi-axis

Alexander Sakhnovich

Dedicated to Heinz Langer

Abstract

We characterize the set of rectangular Weyl matrix functions corresponding to Dirac systems with locally square-integrable potentials on a semi-axis and demonstrate a new way to recover the locally square-integrable potential from the Weyl function. Important interconnections between our approach and accelerants of convolution operators are discussed as well.

MSC(2010): 34B20, 34L40, 34A55, 47A48

Keywords: *Dirac system, inverse problem, accelerant, A-amplitude, convolution operator, structured operator, Weyl function, factorization, characterization.*

1 Introduction

1.1 Some remarks on Dirac system and its accelerant.

We consider the self-adjoint Dirac (more precisely, Dirac-type) system

$$\frac{d}{dx}y(x,z) = \mathrm{i}(zj + jV(x))y(x,z) \quad (x \geq 0), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$j = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m_1 + m_2 =: m, \tag{1.2}$$

I_{m_k} is the $m_k \times m_k$ identity matrix and $v(x)$ is an $m_1 \times m_2$ matrix function. The matrix functions V and (sometimes) v, are called the potentials of Dirac system. For convenience, we talk about the *potential* v in this paper.

Dirac system (also called canonical system, Zakharov-Shabat system, AKNS system and spectral Dirac system) is a classical object of analysis which has various applications. In particular, it is an auxiliary linear system for several well-known nonlinear integrable equations (hence, the names Zakharov-Shabat system or AKNS system). Important interconnections between the Dirac system (spectral Dirac system) and dynamical Dirac system were studied, for instance, in [24,31] (see also the references therein). In addition, Dirac system is a more general object than the famous Schrödinger equation and may be fruitfully used in the study of this equation (see, e.g., [2,4,8]).

Inverse spectral problem for Dirac system goes back to the seminal paper [12] by M.G. Krein from the year 1955, where the case of continuous scalar potential v was dealt with. Numerous works were dedicated to the proofs of the results from [12] and to their generalizations (see, e.g., [1,3,5,13–19,21,29] and various references therein). In particular, the topic is developed further in the interesting recent Krein-Langer paper [13]. An important feature of the Krein's approach is a deep connection between Dirac systems and convolution operators, which play a crucial role in solving inverse problem. The kernel of the corresponding positive convolution (integral) operator is called *accelerant*. It proved (see, e.g., Remark 2.3 in [7] or Remark 2.46 in [25]) that accelerant is an analogue of the important A-amplitude actively studied and used in the well-known papers [9, 30]. Moreover, as mentioned in [14], the A-amplitude "is essentially the derivative of Krein's transfer function". Recall that Krein's transfer function appeared in his paper [11] on Sturm-Liouville equation. Thus, the accelerant and A-amplitude have also Krein's transfer function as a common forerunner.

Here, we consider the recovery of system from the Weyl function. Such problems form an important area in inverse spectral problems, which is closely related to the recovery of system from the spectral function. In the

case of Dirac systems (1.1) with rectangular matrix functions v, one cannot use convolution operators anymore. However, structured operators of the form (1.6) which satisfy operator identities (1.7), similar to those for convolution operators, are applied for solving inverse problems [5, 23, 25]. (See [25–28] and references therein for more details on the method of operator identities.) Somewhat different kinds of structured operators play the main role in solving inverse problems for skew-self-adjoint Dirac systems, for auxiliary systems in the case of N-wave equations and for certain systems depending rationally on the spectral parameter (see, e.g., [6, 20, 22, 25]). *In particular, the matrix function* Φ_1' *in* (1.6) (*or, equivalently, in* (1.9)) *may be considered as a direct analog of the Krein's accelerant.*

This paper is a continuation of our paper [23], where an inverse problem for Dirac systems with locally square-integrable potentials on a semi-axis have been solved, and a procedure to recover the $m_1 \times m_2$ potential v from the Weyl function have been given. The work [23] was initiated by a question formulated by F. Gesztesy and generalizes many results obtained in [5, 21] (for locally bounded potentials) on an essentially wider class of potentials. In the present paper, we give *characterization of Weyl matrix functions* corresponding to such Dirac systems and demonstrate (see Corollary 3.4) an alternative way to recover v from the Weyl function.

1.2 Main notions and results

We assume that the potential v of Dirac system (1.1) is locally square-integrable, that is, square-integrable (or, using an equivalent term, square-summable) on the finite intervals $[0, l]$. We say that a matrix function is integrable (square-integrable) if its entries are integrable (square-integrable). The notation $u(x, z)$ stands for the fundamental solution of (1.1) normalized by the condition

$$u(0, z) = I_m. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.1 *A Weyl-Titchmarsh* (*or simply Weyl*) *function of Dirac system* (1.1) *on* $[0, \infty)$*, where the potential* v *is locally integrable, is a holomor-*

phic $m_2 \times\ m_1$ matrix function φ which satisfies the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & \varphi(z)^* \end{bmatrix} u(x,z)^* u(x,z) \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} \\ \varphi(z) \end{bmatrix} dx < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\mathbb{C}_+$ stands for the open upper half-plane of the complex plane.

Remark 1.2 *In [25, Subsection 2.2.1], we show that the Weyl function $\varphi(z)$ always exists in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and that it is unique, holomorphic and contractive (i.e., $\varphi(z)^*\varphi(z) \le I_{m_1}$).*

Since φ is contractive, the formula below is well-defined.

$$\Phi_1\Big(\frac{x}{2}\Big) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{x\eta} \mathrm{l.i.m.}_{a\to\infty} \int_{-a}^{a} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x\zeta} \frac{\varphi(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)}{2\mathrm{i}(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)} d\zeta, \quad \eta > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Here l.i.m. stands for the entrywise limit in the norm of $L^2(0,b)$, $0 < b \le \infty$. Note that if we put additionally $\Phi_1(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$, equality (1.5) holds for l.i.m. as the entrywise limit in $L^2(-b,b)$. The matrix function Φ_1 does not depend on $\eta > 0$ (see, e.g., [23]). Moreover, according to the formula (3.12) (which defines Φ_1 in another but equivalent way) and to Proposition 3.1, both from [23], the following statement is valid.

Proposition 1.3 *Let φ be the Weyl function of Dirac system (1.1) on $[0,\infty)$, where the potential v is locally square-integrable. Then Φ_1 given on $\mathbb{R}$ by (1.5) is absolutely continuous, $\Phi_1(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \le 0$, Φ_1' is locally square-integrable on $\mathbb{R}$, and the operators*

$$S_\xi = I - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\xi \int_{|x-t|}^{x+t} \Phi_1'\left(\frac{\zeta+x-t}{2}\right) \Phi_1'\left(\frac{\zeta+t-x}{2}\right)^* d\zeta \cdot dt \tag{1.6}$$

are positive definite and boundedly invertible in $L^2(0,\xi)$ $(0 < \xi < \infty)$.

Here (as usual), $\Phi_1' := \frac{d}{dx}\Phi_1$. The results above (on the properties of the Weyl functions) belong to the direct spectral problem. The procedure of solving inverse spectral problem in [23] (see Theorem 2.1 in the next section) is based on the construction of the matrix function Φ_1 and structured operators S_ξ, which are introduced above. Below, we consider the functions $\varphi(z)$ with the properties as given above and formulate Theorem 1.6 that such functions are always Weyl functions. In this way, we *characterize the set of Weyl functions.*

Remark 1.4 *It is easy to see that for any $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function φ, which is holomorphic and contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$, the transformation* (1.5) *generates Φ_1 such that $\Phi_1(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \le 0$. Moreover, this Φ_1 does not depend on $\eta > 0$.*

Remark 1.5 *According to [23, Remark 4.5], the operator S_ξ given by* (1.6) *(with an absolutely continuous $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function $\Phi_1(x)$ such that $\Phi_1(0) = 0$ and $\Phi_1'(x)$ is square-integrable on $[0, \xi]$) is the unique solution of the operator identity*

$$A_\xi S_\xi - S_\xi A_\xi^* = \mathrm{i}\Pi_\xi j \Pi_\xi^*, \tag{1.7}$$

where A_ξ is an integration operator in $L^2(0,\xi)$ multiplied by $-\mathrm{i}$ and Π_ξ is a multiplication operator $\big(\Pi_\xi \in B\big(\mathbb{C}^m, L^2_{m_2}(0,\xi)\big)\big)$. That is, A_ξ and Π_ξ are given by the relations

$$A_\xi = -\mathrm{i}\int_0^x \cdot\, dt, \quad \Pi_\xi g = \begin{bmatrix}\Phi_1(x) & I_{m_2}\end{bmatrix} g \quad (g \in \mathbb{C}^m). \tag{1.8}$$

Changing variables (more precisely, making substitution $\zeta = x + t - 2r$) we rewrite S_ξ in an equivalent and more convenient form

$$S_\xi = I - \int_0^\xi s(x,t)\, \cdot\, dt, \quad s(x,t) := \int_0^{\min(x,t)} \Phi_1'(x-r)\Phi_1'(t-r)^* dr. \tag{1.9}$$

In order to complete a characterization of Weyl functions, we assume that some $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function has the properties of the Weyl function described in Remark 1.2 and Proposition 1.3 and prove in this paper the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 *Let an $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function $\varphi(z)$ be holomorphic and contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$. Let $\Phi_1(x)$ given by* (1.5) *be absolutely continuous, let $\Phi_1(0) = 0$, and let Φ_1' be square-integrable on all the finite intervals $[0, \xi]$. Assume that the operators S_ξ, which are expressed via Φ_1 in* (1.9)*, are positive definite and boundedly invertible in $L^2(0,\xi)$ $(0 < \xi < \infty)$.*

Then φ is the Weyl function of some Dirac system (1.1) *on $[0, \infty)$ such that the potential v of this Dirac system is locally square-integrable.*

In fact, the requirements in Theorem 1.6 slightly differ from the properties in Remark 1.2 and Proposition 1.3 but (in view of Remark 1.4 and equivalence of representations (1.6) and (1.9)) the requirements coincide with those properties.

We formulate some results from [23] and an auxiliary Proposition 2.2 in the next section "Preliminaries". The proof of the main Theorem 1.6 and an alternative procedure of solving inverse problem are contained in Section 3.

The notation $\mathbb{R}$ denotes, in the paper, the real axis, $\mathbb{C}$ stands for the complex plane and $\mathbb{C}_+$ stands for the open upper half-plane. By $B(\mathcal{H})$ we denote the set of operators bounded in some Banach space $\mathcal{H}$, and the notation $B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ stands for the set of bounded operators acting from $\mathcal{H}_1$ into $\mathcal{H}_2$.

2 Preliminaries

The main part of this section, including Theorem 2.1, is dedicated to solving inverse problem and presents some related results from [23]. Consider the fundamental solution u (of Dirac system (1.1)) at $z = 0$ and partition it into block rows:

$$\beta(x) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} u(x,0), \quad \gamma(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix} u(x,0). \tag{2.1}$$

It is shown in [23] and easily follows from (1.1) and (1.3) that

$$\beta j \beta^* \equiv I_{m_1}, \quad \gamma j \gamma^* \equiv -I_{m_2}, \quad \beta j \gamma^* \equiv 0, \quad \gamma' j \gamma^* \equiv 0; \tag{2.2}$$

$$v(x) = \mathrm{i}\beta'(x) j \gamma(x)^*. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, in order to solve inverse problem and recover v, it suffices to recover β and γ. Let φ be the Weyl function of some Dirac system with a locally square-integrable potential v and let Φ_1 and S_ξ be given by (1.5) and by (1.6), respectively. Introduce operators

$$\Pi_\xi := \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 & \Phi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_k \in B\big(\mathbb{C}^{m_k}, L^2_{m_2}(0,\xi)\big); \tag{2.4}$$

$$\big(\Phi_1 g_1\big)(x) = \Phi_1(x) g_1, \quad \Phi_2 g_2 = I_{m_2} g_2 \equiv g_2, \tag{2.5}$$

where $g_k \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ excluding the last g_2 in (2.5), which stands for the natural embedding of g_2 into $L^2_{m_2}(0,\, \xi)$.

The Hamiltonian $H = \gamma\gamma^*$ may be expressed [23] in terms of Π_ξ and S_ξ:

$$H(\xi) = \gamma(\xi)\gamma(\xi)^* = \left(\Pi_\xi^* S_\xi^{-1} \Pi_\xi\right)'. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, we may recover γ. First, for that purpose, we partition γ into two blocks $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix}\gamma_1 & \gamma_2\end{bmatrix}$, where γ_k $(k = 1, 2)$ is an $m_2 \times m_k$ matrix function. Next, we recover the so called Schur coefficient $\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1$:

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix}0 & I_{m_2}\end{bmatrix} H \begin{bmatrix}0 \\ I_{m_2}\end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}0 & I_{m_2}\end{bmatrix} H \begin{bmatrix}I_{m_1} \\ 0\end{bmatrix} = (\gamma_2^*\gamma_2)^{-1}\gamma_2^*\gamma_1 = \gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Here we used the inequality $\det \gamma_2 \neq 0$, which follows from the second identity in (2.2). The second identity in (2.2) yields also

$$I_{m_2} - (\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)^* = \gamma_2^{-1}(\gamma_2^{-1})^*,$$

which implies that the left-hand side of this equality is invertible. Taking into account $\det \gamma_2 \neq 0$, we rewrite γ_1 in the form $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)$, and the fourth identity in (2.2) we rewrite as $\gamma_2' = \gamma_1'(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)^*$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_2' = (\gamma_2(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1))'(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)^*, \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ &\gamma_2' = \gamma_2(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)'(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)^*\left(I_{m_2} - (\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1)^*\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and recover γ_2 from (2.8) and the initial condition $\gamma_2(0) = I_{m_2}$. Finally, we recover γ_1 from γ_2 and $\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1$.

In order to recover β from γ, we partition β into two blocks $\beta = \begin{bmatrix}\beta_1 & \beta_2\end{bmatrix}$, where β_k $(k = 1, 2)$ is an $m_1 \times m_k$ matrix function. We put

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix}I_{m_1} & \gamma_1^*(\gamma_2^*)^{-1}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.9}$$

Because of (2.2) and (2.9), we have $\beta j \gamma^* = \widetilde{\beta} j \gamma^* = 0$, and so

$$\beta(x) = \beta_1(x)\widetilde{\beta}(x). \tag{2.10}$$

It follows from (1.1) and (2.1) that

$$\beta'(x) = \mathrm{i}v(x)\gamma(x), \tag{2.11}$$

which implies

$$\beta' j \beta^* = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Formula (2.10) and the first relation in (2.2) lead us to

$$\widetilde{\beta} j \widetilde{\beta}^* = \beta_1^{-1} (\beta_1^*)^{-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.10) we also derive that

$$\beta' j \beta^* = \beta_1' (\widetilde{\beta} j \widetilde{\beta}^*) \beta_1^* + \beta_1 (\widetilde{\beta}' j \widetilde{\beta}^*) \beta_1^*.$$

Taking into account (2.12) and (2.13), we rewrite the relation above:

$$\beta_1' \beta_1^{-1} + \beta_1 (\widetilde{\beta}' j \widetilde{\beta}^*) \beta_1^* = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

According to (1.3), (2.13), and (2.14), β_1 satisfies the first order differential equation (and initial condition):

$$\beta_1' = -\beta_1 (\widetilde{\beta}' j \widetilde{\beta}^*) (\widetilde{\beta} j \widetilde{\beta}^*)^{-1}, \quad \beta_1(0) = I_{m_1}. \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, β_1 and β are successively recovered from γ. The potential v is recovered from β and γ via (2.3). We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let φ be the Weyl function of Dirac system* (1.1) *on* $[0, \infty)$*, where the potential v is locally square-integrable. Then v can be uniquely recovered from φ via the formula* (2.3)*. Here, β is recovered from γ using* (2.9)*,* (2.10) *and* (2.15)*; γ is recovered from the Hamiltonian H using* (2.7) *and* (2.8)*; the Hamiltonian is given by* (2.6)*, Π_ξ from* (2.6) *is expressed via $\Phi_1(x)$ in formulas* (2.4) *and* (2.5)*, and S_ξ is expressed via $\Phi_1(x)$ in* (1.6)*. Finally, $\Phi_1(x)$ is recovered from φ using* (1.5)*.*

In a way, which is similar to the recovery of β from γ (in Theorem 2.1), we recover γ from β in the next proposition. This proposition (see below) is a simple modification of [25, Proposition 2.53] for the case of an absolutely continuous matrix function β with the proof of [25, Proposition 2.53] remaining valid for our case. (We note that we use the notation $\widehat{\gamma}$ instead of γ in our proposition.)

Proposition 2.2 *Let a given $m_1 \times m$ matrix function $\beta(x)$ $(0 \le x \le \xi)$ be absolutely continuous and satisfy relations*

$$\beta(0) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \beta' j \beta^* \equiv 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Then there is a unique $m_2 \times m$ matrix function $\widehat{\gamma}$, which is absolutely continuous and satisfies relations

$$\widehat{\gamma}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}' j \widehat{\gamma}^* \equiv 0, \quad \widehat{\gamma} j \beta^* \equiv 0. \tag{2.17}$$

This $\widehat{\gamma}$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \widehat{\gamma}_2 \widetilde{\gamma}, \quad \widetilde{\gamma} := \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\gamma}_1 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\gamma}_1 := \beta_2^* (\beta_1^*)^{-1}, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\widehat{\gamma}_2$ is recovered via the differential system and initial condition below:

$$\widehat{\gamma}_2' = \widehat{\gamma}_2 \widetilde{\gamma}_1' \widetilde{\gamma}_1^* \big(I_{m_2} - \widetilde{\gamma}_1 \widetilde{\gamma}_1^*\big)^{-1}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_2(0) = I_{m_2}. \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover, the procedure above is well-defined since

$$\det \beta_1(x) \ne 0, \quad \det \big(I_{m_2} - \widetilde{\gamma}_1(x) \widetilde{\gamma}_1(x)^*\big) \ne 0. \tag{2.20}$$

3 Characterization of Weyl functions

In order to prove Theorem 1.6 we need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let Φ_1' be square-integrable on $[0, \xi]$ and let the operator S_ξ of the form* (1.9) *be positive definite in $L^2_{m_2}(0, \xi)$ and have a bounded inverse. Then S_ξ^{-1} admits a unique factorization*

$$S_\xi^{-1} = E_{\Phi,\xi}^* E_{\Phi,\xi}, \quad E_{\Phi,\xi} = I + \int_0^x E_\Phi(x,t) \cdot dt \in B\big(L^2_{m_2}(0,\xi)\big), \tag{3.1}$$

where $E_\Phi(x,t)$ is continuous (with respect to x and t).

P r o o f. First, let us show that the kernel $s(x,t)$ given by (1.9) is continuous. Since Φ_1' is square-integrable, its entries may be approximated in $L^2(0,\xi)$ by some continuous matrix functions $\Psi_\Delta(x)$ such that the norms of all the

differences between the corresponding entries of Φ_1' and Ψ_Δ are less than Δ. Then the differences between $s(x,t)$ and continuous matrix functions

$$s_\Delta(x,t) := \int_0^{\min(x,t)} \Psi_\Delta(x-r)\Psi_\Delta(t-r)^* dr$$

have the form

$$s(x,t) - s_\Delta(x,t) = \int_0^{\min(x,t)} \big((\Phi_1'(x-r) - \Psi_\Delta(x-r))\Phi_1'(t-r)^* + \Psi_\Delta(x-r)(\Phi_1'(t-r) - \Phi_\Delta(t-r))^*\big) dr, \tag{3.2}$$

and these differences may be made uniformly (with respect to x and t) sufficiently small. It follows that $s(x,t)$ is, indeed, continuous.

Now, the statement of the lemma follows from the results in [10, pp. 184-186] (see also a shorter factorization Corollary 1.39 in [25]). ■

Remark 3.2 *Similar to the considerations from [25, p. 34], we show that the kernel of the integral operator $E_{\Phi,\xi}$ does not depend on ξ. Indeed, assume that Φ_1 is given on $[0,\,\ell]$ $(\ell > \xi)$ and satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1 on $[0,\ell]$. Then, S_ξ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1 as well, and S_ξ^{-1} and S_ℓ^{-1} admit unique factorizations* (3.1) *and*

$$S_\ell^{-1} = E^*E, \quad E = I + \int_0^x E(x,t)\,\cdot\,dt \in B\big(L^2_{m_2}(0,\ell)\big), \tag{3.3}$$

respectively. In view of (3.3)*, for the projector $P_\xi \in B\big(L^2_{m_2}(0,\ell),\, L^2_{m_2}(0,\xi)\big)$, such that*

$$\big(P_\xi f\big)(x) = f(x) \quad (0 < x < \xi, \quad f \in L^2_{m_2}(0,\ell)), \tag{3.4}$$

we have

$$S_\xi = P_\xi S_\ell P_\xi^* = P_\xi E^{-1}(E^*)^{-1}P_\xi^*. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $E^{\pm 1}$ are lower triangular operators and P_ξ has the form (3.4)*, the following equalities are valid:*

$$(E^*)^{-1}P_\xi^* = P_\xi^* P_\xi (E^*)^{-1}P_\xi^*, \quad P_\xi(E^*)^{-1}P_\xi^* = (P_\xi E^* P_\xi^*)^{-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.5) *and* (3.6), *we obtain*

$$S_\xi^{-1} = (P_\xi E^* P_\xi^*)(P_\xi E P_\xi^*). \tag{3.7}$$

Now, it is immediate from the uniqueness of the factorization (3.1) *that* $E_{\Phi,\xi} = P_\xi E P_\xi^*$ *or, equivalently,* $E_\Phi(x,t) = E(x,t)$ *for* $x \le \xi$. *Thus, we see that* $E_\Phi(x,t)$ *in* (3.1) *does not depend on* ξ.

Further, we modify the proof of [5, Theorem 5.2] (see also [25, Theorem 2.54]) and introduce the matrix functions β_Φ and γ_Φ by $\beta_\Phi(0) := \beta_\Phi(+0)$,

$$\beta_\Phi(x) := \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^x \Big(S_x^{-1}\Phi_1'\Big)(t)^* \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1(t) & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix} dt \quad (x > 0); \tag{3.8}$$

$$\gamma_\Phi(x) := \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1(x) & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^x E_\Phi(x,t) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1(t) & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix} dt \quad (x \ge 0), \tag{3.9}$$

where S_x^{-1} is applied to Φ_1' in (3.8) columnwise. To proceed with our proof of Theorem 1.6 we prove first the lemma below.

Lemma 3.3 *Let an* $m_2 \times m_1$ *matrix function* $\Phi_1(x)$ *be absolutely continuous on* $[0,\infty)$, *let* $\Phi_1(0) = 0$, *and let* Φ_1' *be locally square-integrable. Assume that the operators* S_ξ, *which are expressed via* Φ_1 *in* (1.9), *are boundedly invertible for all* $0 < \xi < \infty$. *Then,* β_Φ *is absolutely continuous on* $[0,\infty)$, γ_Φ *is continuous, and* β_Φ *and* γ_Φ *satisfy the conditions*

$$\beta_\Phi(0) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_\Phi' j \beta_\Phi^* \equiv 0; \tag{3.10}$$

$$\gamma_\Phi(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_\Phi j \beta_\Phi^* \equiv 0. \tag{3.11}$$

P r o o f. Factorizing S_x^{-1}, we rewrite (3.8) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\Phi(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^x \big(E_{\Phi,x}\Phi_1'\big)(t)^* \Big(E_{\Phi,x} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}\Big)(t) dt \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^x \big(E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi_1'\big)(t)^* \Big(E_{\Phi,\xi} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}\Big)(t) dt \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for $\xi \ge x$. (Here, we took into account Remark 3.2 in order to substitute $E_{\Phi,\xi}$ instead of $E_{\Phi,x}$.) Clearly, (3.9) is equivalent to the equalities

$$\gamma_\Phi(x) = \Big(E_{\Phi,\xi} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}\Big)(x), \quad 0 \le x \le \xi \quad (\text{for all } \xi < \infty), \tag{3.13}$$

where $E_{\Phi,\xi}$ is given in (3.1). We note that, according to Remark 3.2 and to the conditions of lemma, the matrix functions β_Φ and γ_Φ given by (3.8) and (3.9) are well-defined for all $x \geq 0$. On the other hand, in order to prove (3.10) and (3.11) it suffices to prove that (3.10) and (3.11) hold for all $x < \xi$ with any arbitrary fixed $\xi > 0$, and so we fix some arbitrary ξ.

The first equalities in (3.10) and (3.11) are immediate from (3.8) and (3.9), respectively. Next, we multiply both sides of (1.7) by $E_{\Phi,\xi}$ from the left and by $E^*_{\Phi,\xi}$ from the right. Taking into account (3.1), we obtain

$$E_{\Phi,\xi}A_\xi E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi} - \big(E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi}\big)^* A^*_\xi E^*_{\Phi,\xi} = \mathrm{i}E_{\Phi,\xi}\Pi_\xi j \Pi^*_\xi E^*_{\Phi,\xi}.$$

Using the expression for Π_ξ in (1.8) and formula (3.13), we rewrite the equality above in the form

$$E_{\Phi,\xi}A_\xi E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi} - \big(E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi}\big)^* A^*_\xi E^*_{\Phi,\xi} = \mathrm{i}\gamma_\Phi(x) j \int_0^\xi \gamma_\Phi(t)^* \,\cdot\, dt,$$

which (taking into account that $E_{\Phi,\xi}A_\xi E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi}$ is a triangular operator) yields

$$E_{\Phi,\xi}A_\xi E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi} = \mathrm{i}\gamma_\Phi(x) j \int_0^x \gamma_\Phi(t)^* \,\cdot\, dt. \tag{3.14}$$

Let us partition γ_Φ into the blocks:

$$\gamma_\Phi = \begin{bmatrix}(\gamma_\Phi)_1 & (\gamma_\Phi)_2\end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad (\gamma_\Phi)_1(x) = \big(E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi_1\big)(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \leq \xi.$$

Using expression (1.8) for A_ξ and the equality $\Phi_1(0) = 0$, we see that

$$\big(E_{\Phi,\xi}A_\xi E^{-1}_{\Phi,\xi}\big)E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi_1' = -\mathrm{i}E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi_1 = -\mathrm{i}(\gamma_\Phi)_1. \tag{3.15}$$

Relations (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) imply the equality

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma_\Phi)_1(x) &= -\gamma_\Phi(x) j \int_0^x \gamma_\Phi(t)^* \big(E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi_1'\big)(t)dt \\ &= -\gamma_\Phi(x) j \int_0^x \Big(E_{\Phi,\xi}\begin{bmatrix}\Phi_1 & I_{m_2}\end{bmatrix}\Big)(t)^* \big(E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi_1'\big)(t)dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.12) and (3.16) we derive

$$(\gamma_\Phi)_1(x) = -\gamma_\Phi(x) j \big(\beta_\Phi(x) - \begin{bmatrix}I_{m_1} & 0\end{bmatrix}\big)^*. \tag{3.17}$$

It is immediate that (3.17) is equivalent to

$$\gamma_\Phi(x) j \beta_\Phi(x)^* \equiv 0. \tag{3.18}$$

According to relations (3.12) and (3.13), β_Φ is absolutely continuous and almost everywhere on $[0, \xi]$ we have

$$\beta'_\Phi(x) = \Big(E_{\Phi,\xi}\Phi'_1\Big)(x)^* \gamma_\Phi(x). \tag{3.19}$$

The second equality in (3.10) easily follows from (3.18) and (3.19). Thus, (3.10) is proved. We derived the first equality in (3.11) at the beginning of lemma's proof whereas the second equality in (3.11) coincides with (3.18), that is, (3.11) holds as well. ■

Now, we can prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Step 1. Let us consider β_Φ and γ_Φ (constructed via Φ_1) in greater detail than in Lemma 3.3. We note that, according to (3.19), β'_Φ is locally square-integrable and so $\widehat{\gamma}$ corresponding to β_Φ and given by the formulas (2.18) and (2.19) in Proposition 2.2 has a locally square-integrable derivative $\widehat{\gamma}'$. From Proposition 2.2 we see that $\widehat{\gamma}$ satisfies relations

$$\widehat{\gamma}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}' j \widehat{\gamma}^* \equiv 0, \quad \widehat{\gamma} j \beta_\Phi^* \equiv 0. \tag{3.20}$$

First, we show that γ_Φ coincides with $\widehat{\gamma}$ and so γ_Φ is absolutely continuous, γ'_Φ is locally square-integrable and the equality

$$\gamma'_\Phi j \gamma_\Phi^* = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

is valid (in addition to equalities (3.11) which are already proved).

Since $E_\Phi(x,t)$ is continuous and does not depend on ξ, the resolvent kernel Γ_Φ of

$$E_{\Phi,\xi}^{-1} = I + \int_0^x \Gamma_\Phi(x,t) \cdot dt$$

is continuous and does not depend on ξ as well. We rewrite (3.14) in the form of an equality for kernels:

$$I_{m_2} + \int_t^x \big(E_\Phi(x,r) + \Gamma_\Phi(r,t)\big) dr + \int_t^x \int_\xi^x E_\Phi(x,r) dr \Gamma_\Phi(\xi,t) d\xi = -\gamma_\Phi(x) j \gamma_\Phi(t)^*. \tag{3.22}$$

In particular, formula (3.22) for the case $x = t$ implies that

$$\gamma_\Phi(x) j \gamma_\Phi(x)^* \equiv -I_{m_2}. \tag{3.23}$$

Formulas (3.10) and (3.20) yield useful relations

$$\beta_\Phi j \beta_\Phi^* \equiv I_{m_1}, \quad \widehat{\gamma} j \widehat{\gamma}^* \equiv -I_{m_2}. \tag{3.24}$$

From (3.11), (3.23), (3.24) and the last equality in (3.20) we derive that

$$\gamma_\Phi(x) = \omega(x)\widehat{\gamma}(x), \quad \omega(x)^* = \omega(x)^{-1} \tag{3.25}$$

for some $m_2 \times m_2$ matrix function ω. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3 we fix some arbitrary $\xi > 0$. We show that $\omega(x) \equiv I_{m_2}$ on $[0, \xi]$.

Indeed, in view of the first two equalities in (3.20) and the second equality in (3.24), using [23, Proposition 2.1] and the proof of [23, Proposition 3.1], we see that there is an operator

$$\widehat{E} = I + \int_0^x N(x,t) \cdot dt \in B\big(L^2_{m_2}(0,\xi)\big), \tag{3.26}$$

such that

$$\widehat{E} A_\xi = \mathrm{i}\widehat{\gamma}(x) j \int_0^x \widehat{\gamma}(t)^* \cdot dt\, \widehat{E}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_2 = \widehat{E} I_{m_2}. \tag{3.27}$$

Moreover, $N(x,t)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel and the operators $\widehat{E}^{\pm 1}$ map differentiable functions with a square-integrable derivative into differentiable functions with a square-integrable derivative. (Above, we repeat, after some renaming, the statement of [23, Proposition 3.1], since its proof does not depend really on the existence of the Dirac system but follows from (3.20) and (3.24).) According to (3.25) and (3.27), for

$$\widehat{E}_{\Phi,\xi} := \omega(x)\widehat{E} \tag{3.28}$$

we have

$$\widehat{E}_{\Phi,\xi} A_\xi = \mathrm{i}\gamma_\Phi(x) j \int_0^x \gamma_\Phi(t)^* \cdot dt\, \widehat{E}_{\Phi,\xi}, \quad (\gamma_\Phi)_2 = \widehat{E}_{\Phi,\xi} I_{m_2}. \tag{3.29}$$

On the other hand, formulas (3.13) and (3.14) lead us to

$$(\gamma_\Phi)_2 = E_{\Phi,\xi} I_{m_2}, \quad E_{\Phi,\xi} A_\xi = \mathrm{i}\gamma_\Phi(x) j \int_0^x \gamma_\Phi(t)^* \cdot dt\, E_{\Phi,\xi}. \tag{3.30}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\Big(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\, \big(A_\xi^i I_{m_2}\big)\Big) = L^2_{m_2}(0,\xi),$$

where Im stands for image and $\overline{\operatorname{span}}$ stands for the closed linear span. Hence, equalities (3.29) and (3.30) imply that $\widehat{E}_{\Phi,\xi} = E_{\Phi,\xi}$. Therefore, comparing the representation of $E_{\Phi,\xi}$ in (3.1) and formulas (3.26) and (3.28) for $\widehat{E}_{\Phi,\xi}$ we obtain $\omega(x) \equiv I_{m_2}$. In other words we have $\gamma_\Phi \equiv \widehat{\gamma}$. Thus, γ_Φ is absolutely continuous, γ_Φ' is locally square-integrable and (3.21) holds.

Step 2. From the second equalities in (3.10) and (3.11) and from (3.21), we derive

$$u_\Phi' j u_\Phi^* j = \mathrm{i} j \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad u_\Phi(x) := \begin{bmatrix} \beta_\Phi(x) \\ \gamma_\Phi(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad v := \mathrm{i}\beta_\Phi' j \gamma_\Phi^*. \tag{3.31}$$

Moreover, relations (3.11), (3.23) and the first equalities in (3.10), (3.24) imply that

$$u_\Phi(0) = I_m, \qquad u_\Phi j u_\Phi^* \equiv j. \tag{3.32}$$

In particular, since u_Φ is j-unitary, we rewrite (3.31) in the form

$$u_\Phi' = \mathrm{i} j \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} u_\Phi. \tag{3.33}$$

Hence, $u_\Phi(x)$ is the normalized fundamental solution (at $z = 0$) of Dirac system with the potential v of the form (3.31). We note that $\widehat{E} = E_{\Phi,\xi}$ is constructed for this system in precisely the same way as the operator E is constructed in [23]. Recall that Φ_1 is introduced as $E^{-1}\gamma_1$ in [23, f-la (3.12)], and note that, in the present paper, the equality $\Phi_1 = E_{\Phi,\xi}^{-1}(\gamma_\Phi)_1$ follows from (3.13). Thus, we may apply the results from [23] to our system (with

$v = \mathrm{i}\beta'_\Phi j\gamma^*_\Phi$) and to our Φ_1. Denoting the Weyl function of this system by φ_Φ, we obtain from [23, f-la (4.18)] the representation

$$\Phi_1\Big(\frac{x}{2}\Big) = \frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{e}^{x\eta}\mathrm{l.i.m.}_{a\to\infty}\int_{-a}^{a}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x\zeta}\frac{\varphi_\Phi(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)}{2\mathrm{i}(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)}d\zeta, \quad \eta>0. \tag{3.34}$$

(The existence of the Weyl function is stated in [23, Proposition 1.3] and is discussed in detail in [25, Subsection 2.2.1].)

The initial function φ from the main Theorem 1.6, which we are proving here, generates the same Φ_1 via formula (1.5). Subtracting both sides of (3.34) from the corresponding sides of (1.5), we derive:

$$\mathrm{l.i.m.}_{a\to\infty}\int_{-a}^{a}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x\zeta}\frac{\varphi(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)-\varphi_\Phi(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)}{2\mathrm{i}(\zeta+\mathrm{i}\eta)}d\zeta, \quad \eta>0, \tag{3.35}$$

where (taking into account Remark 1.4) l.i.m. stands for the entrywise limit in the norms of $L^2(-b,b)$ ($0<b\le\infty$). Therefore, we see that $\varphi_W=\varphi$, that is, φ is the Weyl function of the Dirac system with the potential $v=\mathrm{i}\beta'_\Phi j\gamma^*_\Phi$. □

The proof of Theorem 1.6 yields an alternative way of recovering β and γ while solving the inverse problem, which is different from the one presented in [23] (and in Theorem 2.1 in "Preliminaries").

Corollary 3.4 *Let φ be the Weyl function of Dirac system* (1.1) *on* $[0,\infty)$, *where the potential v is locally square-integrable.*

Then v can be uniquely recovered from φ using the following procedure. First, $\Phi_1(x)$ is recovered from φ using (1.5). *Next, the operators S_ξ are expressed via $\Phi_1(x)$ in formula* (1.6) *or, equivalently, in* (1.9). *Finally, we set*

$$\beta(x) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0\end{bmatrix} + \int_0^x \Big(S_x^{-1}\Phi_1'\Big)(t)^*\begin{bmatrix}\Phi_1(t) & I_{m_2}\end{bmatrix}dt, \tag{3.36}$$

and recover γ from β using the procedure from Proposition 2.2 for the recovery of $\widehat{\gamma}$ (and putting $\gamma=\widehat{\gamma}$). The potential v is expressed (in (2.3)) *via β and γ, namely, $v=\mathrm{i}\beta' j\gamma^*$.*

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. P29177.

References

[1] D. Alpay, I. Gohberg, M.A. Kaashoek, L. Lerer, A.L. Sakhnovich, *Krein systems and canonical systems on a finite interval: accelerants with a jump discontinuity at the origin and continuous potentials*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **68**:1 (2010), 115–150.

[2] D. Bollé, F. Gesztesy, H. Grosse, W. Schweiger, B. Simon, *Witten index, axial anomaly, and Krein's spectral shift function in supersymmetric quantum mechanics*, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987), 1512–1525.

[3] S.A. Denisov, *To the spectral theory of Krein systems*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **42**:2 (2002), 166–173.

[4] J. Eckhardt, F. Gesztesy, R. Nichols, A. Sakhnovich, G. Teschl, *Inverse spectral problems for Schrödinger-type operators with distributional matrix-valued potentials*, Differential Integral Equations **28**:5-6 (2015), 505–522.

[5] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, I. Roitberg, A.L. Sakhnovich, *Recovery of the Dirac system from the rectangular Weyl matrix function*, Inverse Problems **28**:1 (2012), 015010, 18 pp.

[6] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, I. Roitberg, A.L. Sakhnovich, *Skew-self-adjoint Dirac system with a rectangular matrix potential: Weyl theory, direct and inverse problems*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **74**:2 (2012), 163–187.

[7] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, A.L. Sakhnovich, *Weyl functions of Dirac systems and of their generalizations: integral representation, inverse problem and discrete interpolation*, J. Anal. Math. **116**:1 (2012), 17–51.

[8] F. Gesztesy, J.A. Goldstein, H. Holden, G. Teschl, *Abstract wave equations and associated Dirac-type operators*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **191** (2012), 631–676.

[9] F. Gesztesy, B. Simon, *A new approach to inverse spectral theory.* II. *General real potentials and the connection to the spectral measure*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152**:2 (2000), 593–643.

[10] I. Gohberg, M.G. Krein, *Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space*, Transl. of math. monographs. **24**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970.

[11] M.G. Krein, *On the transfer function of a one-dimensional boundary problem of the second order* (Russian), Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **88** (1953), 405–408.

[12] M.G. Krein, *Continuous analogues of propositions on polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **105** (1955), 637–640.

[13] M.G. Krein, H. Langer, *Continuation of Hermitian positive definite functions and related questions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **78**:1 (2014), 1–69.

[14] H. Langer, *Transfer functions and local spectral uniqueness for Sturm–Liouville operators, canonical systems and strings*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **85**:1 (2016), 1–23.

[15] M. Langer, H. Woracek, *A local inverse spectral theorem for Hamiltonian systems*, Inverse Problems **27**:5 (2011), 055002, 17 pp.

[16] Ya.V. Mykytyuk, D.V. Puyda, *On the accelerants of non-self-adjoint Dirac operators*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **20**:4 (2014), 349–364.

[17] D.V. Puyda, *Inverse spectral problems for Dirac operators with summable matrix-valued potentials*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **74**:3 (2012), 417–450.

[18] J. Rovnyak, L.A. Sakhnovich, *Some indefinite cases of spectral problems for canonical systems of difference equations* (English summary), Special issue on structured and infinite systems of linear equations, Linear Algebra Appl. **343/344** (2002), 267–289.

[19] J. Rovnyak, L.A. Sakhnovich, *Inverse problems for canonical differential equations with singularities*, in: *Recent advances in matrix and operator theory*, 257–288, Operator Theory Adv. Appl. **179**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.

[20] A.L. Sakhnovich, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a semi-axis and an inverse problem associated with it*, Ukr. Math. J. **42**:3 (1990), 316–323.

[21] A.L. Sakhnovich, *Dirac type and canonical systems: spectral and Weyl-Titchmarsh matrix functions, direct and inverse problems*, Inverse Problems **18**:2 (2002), 331–348.

[22] A.L. Sakhnovich, *Construction of the solution of the inverse spectral problem for a system depending rationally on the spectral parameter, Borg–Marchenko-type theorem, and sine-Gordon equation*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **69** (2011), 567–600.

[23] A.L. Sakhnovich, *Inverse problem for Dirac systems with locally square-summable potentials and rectangular Weyl functions*, J. Spectr. Theory **5**:3 (2015), 547–569.

[24] A.L. Sakhnovich, *Dynamical and spectral Dirac systems: response function and inverse problems*, J. Math. Phys. **56**:11 (2015), 112702, 13 pp.

[25] A.L. Sakhnovich, L.A. Sakhnovich, I.Ya. Roitberg, *Inverse Problems and Nonlinear Evolution Equations. Solutions, Darboux Matrices and Weyl–Titchmarsh Functions*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **47**, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.

[26] L.A. Sakhnovich, *An integral equation with a kernel dependent on the difference of the arguments*, Mat. Issled. **8** (1973), 138–146.

[27] L.A. Sakhnovich, *On the factorization of the transfer matrix function*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 203–207.

[28] L.A. Sakhnovich *Spectral theory of canonical differential systems, method of operator identities*, Operator Theory Adv. Appl. **107**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.

[29] L.A. Sakhnovich, *On Krein's differential system and its generalization*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **55**:4 (2006), 561–572.

[30] B. Simon, *A new approach to inverse spectral theory* . I. *Fundamental formalism*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150**:3 (1999), 1029–1057.

[31] A.E. Yagle, B.C. Levy, *The Schur algorithm and its applications*, Acta Appl. Math. **3**:3 (1985), 255–284.

A.L. Sakhnovich,
Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien,
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria
e-mail: oleksandr.sakhnovych@univie.ac.at